LAURANIA

"But the meanest historian owes something to truth."

- Company of the Comp

Laurania #2

History of Rules Issue.

் இளைத்துத்தும் என்றால் இருந்து நாகுக்கு நாக நாகுக்கு நாக நாகுக்கு நாக்கு நாகுக்கு நாக

20 Jane 1969

Laurania is an occasional journal of postal Diplomacy, edited and published by John McCallum, Ralston, Alberta, Canada. The subscription price is a hundred pages for a dollar. Individual issues cost ten cents, except issues more than ten pages long cost twenty cents. Diplomacy is a game manufactured and sold by Games Research, Inc., 48 Wareham St., Boston, Mass., 02118.

The Editions of the Rulebook - I.

In 1961 Games Research took over the manufacture and sale of Diplomacy. For the great majority of us the sets they produced, and the rulebooks that they had printed, constitute the game as we know it. However, for some time prior to this Allan Calhamer himself marketed the game. He had the pieces made for him in job lots, made up the sets himself, and sold them, I believe, from his apartment in Boston. The rules in the early personally produced sets consisted of a set of Dittoed sheets. Jeff Key is probably the only player active in postal play today who used the early set of rules. And even he lost his copy of those rules to a forgetful borrower.

About a year and a half age, - just prior to his departure for Dominica - Allan Calhamer kindly sent me one of those old Dittoed sets of rules. Undoubtedly there were even earlier manuscript rules used as the game was being evolved. However, these rules were the first available to the general public and not merely to Calhamer's friends and colleagues. As most players will have no other chance to see them it appeared to me that it would be a good idea to reproduce them here. Permision to do so has been granted by Dr. Calhamer, the copyright owner. The rules were, of course, written by him and the copyright dates from 1958.

Rules of the Came of Diplomacy.

Players and Countries. The game is played by seven players, each of whom represents one of the Great Powers in Europe in the Eineteenth Century: Austria-H ungary, England, France, Germany, Italy, Russia, and Turkey. Each player is independent of the others. At the start of the game the names of the Great Powers should be written on slips of paper. Each player then selects his country by chance by drawing a slip.

Object of the Game. If any player gains a majority (18) of the possible units in the game within the time set aside for play, he is the winner, and the others are losers. If no player secures a majority, all players still in the game tie. Any player who loses all his military units is out of the game, and loses in any case.

Diplomacy. Before each move, a period of time is set aside for diplomacy. This period is thirty minutes before the first move, and fifteen minutes before each subsequent move. Any diplomacy period may be closed sooner than this, if all the players agree at the time of closing the period. During the diplomacy period the players may confer with each other, publicly or privately, at the board or elsewhere. There are no rules governing what they may say during this period, nor are they required by the rules to live up to what they say, afterward. Small copies of the game board are provided for reference during conversations away from the board.

Military rules. Supply. Thirty-five spaces on the board are designated as supply centers. Each of these is capable of sontinuously furnishing supplies necessary to maintain a military unit. At the start of the game each Great Power contains three supply centers, except Russia, which contains four. Each Great Power has th ree military units, except Russia, which has four. If a great Power occupies another supply center, it may raise another military unit. If a supply center formerly under its occupation is occupied by another Great Power, the Power losing the supply center must remove one of its units.

Military rules. Military units. Each player has two sets of markers, called military units. One type represents military control of a province, and is called an army. The other type represents naval control of a province or body of water, and is called a filest. Each marker is numbered for identification. Each marker is numbered for identification. The colors represent different countries, as follows: Red, white, and blue, England; Blue, France; Black, Germany; Green, Italy; Red, Austria-M ungary; White, Russia; Wellow, Turkey.

Military rules. The board. The great Fowers have each been divided into provinces, each of which is a space for purposes of reckoning the moves of armies, and fleets. The sea has been divided into bodies of water, each of which is a space for purposes of reckoning the moves of fleets. The small countries are each one province for purposes of reckoning moves. The islands, except England, cannot be moved to.

Military rules. The moves of armies and fleets. After the diplomacy period has closed, the players write down the moves they wish to make with their armies and fleets. These written "orders" are usually kept secret until all players have written their orders. The orders are given to one player who then exposes them all, and makes the moves on the board. This duty falls on each player in turn for two moves in succession, starting with the player of England and proceeding clockwise around the table. The players should sit each behind his own country, and thus should be in the following order, clockwise

from England: Germany, Russia, Turkey, Austria-Hungary, Italy, Brance. The moves are named in order: Spring, 1901; Wall, 1901; Spring, 1902; and so on. In writing moves it is sufficient to write the name of one's country, the season & year, the number of each army, with the name of the province to which it is to move after it, and the number of each fleet, followed by an D, followed by the name of the province to which it is to move.

A player may move all his fleets and armies on each move. If he fails to order one of his units, it loses its move. If he orders it to make an impossible move or an ambiguous move, it loses its move. If he mistakenly writes down an order he does not intend, but which is possible, his unit executes the order. An army may move to any adjacent province on the land, subject to exceptions when its move conflicts with that of another unit. A fleet may move to any body of water adjacent to its location, or to any coastal province adjacent to its location, subject to exceptions when its move conflicts with that of another unit. Then a province has two separated stretches of coastline, however (i.e., Spain, Minland, St. Petersburg, Bulgaria), a fleet which enters the province by one part of its coastline may move out only to a province or body of water adjacent to that stretch of coastline. Note that if a fleet in Portugal is ordered to Spain or a fleet in Constantinople is ordered to Bulgaria, the player must indicate which coast the fleet is to move to, or his move is ambiguous, hence forfeited. Likewise a fleet in a coastal province may move to another coastal province only if they are adjacent along a coastline.

The space which an army or fleet is in prior to a given move shall be called its "location". The space to which it is ordered shall be called its "objective".

If an army stands in its location, and another army is ordered to move to that province, the army ordered to move loses its move. If two armies are ordered to the same objective, they both lose their moves. If each army is ordered to the other's location, they both lose their moves. The result is the same between two fleets, or, when fleets and armies conflict, which may only occur in the coastal provinces, the result is again the same, the fleets and armies being equal in strength.

A player may order any army of fleet belonging to his country to give up its move in order to support another unit. A unit giving support may not move. If it is ordered both to move and to support, the move is considered, the support is ignored. The unit giving support may support only in a space to which it could legally move if unopposed by other units; that is, an army may support only if the objective of the unit receiving support is in a province adjacent by land to the army; a fleet may support an action only in a body of water or coastal province adjacent to its location as previously discussed. A unit receiving support has the pover of two units; that is, it will move to its objective in spite of the presence or conflicting move of one or more other

armies acting without support. It does not matter if the opposing unit thus ousted from its location was ordered to attack the locations either of the attacking or the supporting units. A unit acting with the power of two nevertheless will not move if opposed directly by a unit acting with the power of two.

A unit may receive support from several units on the same move, and then may be prevented from moving only if directly opposed by a unit equally heavily supported. If a province is occupied, and two contesting units equally heavily supported attempt to enter it and fail, the army occupying it remains in occupation, unless it has been successfully ordered out on the move.

If the location of a supporting unit is attacked by a unit, not the one whose location is the objective of the unit receiving support, the supporting unit is treated as a unit not ordered to move, standing its ground against an unsupported attack, but not carrying out the support order. Thus a support may be "cut" by an attack from the side.

If a unit is ordered to follow another unit moving on the same move, and the leading unit is prevented from moving, the following unit is also prevented from moving.

The above rules apply whether the units belong to one country or to several; except that a country may not drive out one of its own units by an attack or support by another of its own units.

The units of one country may freely support those of another. When a support is ordered, it is sufficient to write the number of the unit supporting (plus "F" if a fleet) and an "S" indicating "supports", and the number and objective of the unit receiving support. If a foreign unit is to receive support, its country must also be given.

When a unit is driven out of a space, it must retreat before the next move begins. In a retreat the player of the retreating piece simple reaches over and moves the unit, to any adjacent space open to that type of unit, provided that that space is not occupied, not the space the attacker came from, and not a space that two units attempted to occupy on the move and failed to occupy due to a conflict in orders (called a "stand-off"). If two or more units have to retreat, and, in the opinion of the players, knowing what retreat one has chosen would affect the choice of the other, the retreats must be written privately and then shown. If no retreat is possible, or only one retreat is possible for two retreating units, the unit or units unable to retreat are annihilated and removed from the board. If no supply center has been lost, however, a new unit may be brought in as indicated below, as when a country has gained a supply center.

If the above rules are observed there will never be two units in the same space. This is subject to exceptions in the case of capitals, naval bases, and armies on board fleets, which will be discussed below.

Military rules. Occupation of supply centers. At the beginning of the game, each Great Power occupies the supply centers inside its boundaries. It may establish occupation of any other supply center by having a unit in that supply center at the close of a fall move. Once occupation of a supply center has been established, it continues until some other power establishes occupation of it. If a Power occupies more supply centers at the close of a fall move than it has units on the board, it may raise new units to bring its total up to the number its supply centers. The player may apportion his new units between armies and fleets as he sees fit. Armies start in their capital. fleets in their naval base. If a country has lost its naval base, it may not raise fleets, unless and until it recentures its naval base. If a country has lost its capital, it should immediately name another of its original supply centers as capital. If it recaptures its original capital, the capital moves back automatically. If a country has lost all its original supply centers, it may not raise new units, though it may keep its existing units on the board if it controls foreign supply centers; it may capture other foreign supply centers, cutting the supplies off from other Powers; it may, if it recentures an original supply center, again set up a capital and raise armies.

If, at the close of a fall move, a country has more units on the board than it has supply centers under its occupation, it must remove units until its units are equal in number to the supply centers it occupies. The player losing the units may chose which of his units shall be removed. Raising and removal take place after the retreats, if any, as a result of the fall move have been made. Raising and removal should be written privately and revealed all at the same time by the player whose duty it is on the particular move to make physical moves.

Capitals and naval bases. The countries start the game each with two armies in its capital and one fleet in its naval base; except that England starts with two fleets and one army, and Russia starts with two armies in her capital, and one fleet in each of her two naval bases. When Russia builds a fleet she must indicate at which naval base it is to start.

A country may have any number of its own fleets in its naval base and any number of its own armies in its capital. When multiple units at e in one province, however, they are worth only one in defence of that province. They may not support each other, nor may they both support the same unit outside the province. Only one of them may receive support in the capital or naval base.

in army may not move into its our capital if one of its our fleets is in it, now a fleet into its naval base if occupied by its our army. But the presence of such units shall not prevent the raising of a unit in its proper place.

irmies on board fleets. If a fleet and army are in the same province, the army may be ordered "on board" the designated fleet and the

fleet moved on the same move. If an army is in a coastal province to which a fleet could ordinarily move, it may move in, provided the army is ordered on board. If the fleet is in a coastal province to which an army could ordinarily move, the army may move in, provided it is ordered on board at the same time. Once an army is on board a fleet, the two units may not support each other, nor has the army any fighting power. The army stays with the fleet until the fleet puts in at some coastal province. Then the army may be ordered off, provided the fleet is also ordered out on the same move. If the army is attacked at this time, it is treated as if it had been located there previously and had not been ordered to move. If the fleet is prevented from moving, the army stays on board. Both the fleet and the army may receive support from other surrounding units, but may not support each other until the army is disembarked.

Miel and Constantinople. Miel and Constantinople may be crossed either by armies or by fleets. In either case the unit crossing must first stop in the given province, and thus by the above rules may not cross against opposition unless superior force is brought to be ar.

Modifications for fewer players. With six players, Italy is deleted as Great Power, and Venice and Paples are deleted as supply centers. A majority of units is then 17. With five players, Turkey is deleted, and Ankara and Erzurum deleted as supply centers, bringing a majority down to 16.

Length of game. If no winner appears earlier, a game is usually played over a period of about four hours.

The above are the rules as originally copyright by Allan Calhamer in 1958 and supplied in ditto form with theearly sets. As will be apparent by the mention of Mrzurum and by reference to 35 supply centers the map was not identical with the one with which we are now so familiar. In fact there were two different versions of the map in use before the present one was finally adopted. The sheets of paper on which they were reproduced by some process similar to Ozalid, are larger than our current board and reproduction in this magazine presents some difficulties. Fowever, the Ways and Means Committee is considering the matter and I hope to show the maps in a future issue. Comment on these rules will be deferred until then.

Tame of Dipoya nes.

In the last issue I stated that I thought that 25% of all Diplomacy magazines have followed the tradition of taking their names from the names of countries appearing in works of fiction. In Erehwon Vol. III, No. 9, No. Walker published his list of all the zines known to him. We listed 147 titles and there are, as far as I know, only two or three others which he omitted. Well, I lost my bet that 25% had followed the old Graustark-Muritania tradition. According to my count the

the fraction which did so is just under a quarter, although it is well over a fifth.

Guarantors

Mere are brief excepts from a number of letters which I have received in the last few months. Dave Francis: "What's happened to Koning?" Ed Hälle: "Well, I wonder how long it will be before Lebling and Honing come out of hibernation." Charles Welsh: "Could you tell me what is going on with Jutland Jollies?" Hal Haus: "...you have taken one of the Jutland Jollies games. What has happened to the rest?" Hal Haus, again: "Can you send me a list of the mags that have folded and what has happened to their games?" A list of similar queries could be extended almost endlessly. All of those appearing above were written within an inclusive period of three and a half weeks. It is apparent from them that there is widespread concern in Dippydom about the unexplained delays in so many zines' publication rates.

The deplorable level to which Diplomacy publishing has fallen may be shown by the following facts. As many of you know I was away from Aalston for a little over two weeks at the end of May and the beginning of June. I am currently playing in nine games in seven different zines. Prior to going away I only thought it worthwhile informing two of the editors concerna, giving them an alternate address to which to send issues of their zines published while I was away. Charles deinsel was one of the two. I was quite confident that none of the others would produce anything requiring attention within the period of absence. How right I was! In fact, I needn't have bothered to inform the second editor, although the information was required in Reinsel's case. He and John Roardman are the only two editors who publish on a regular and prompt schedule. Where are two or three others who are regular but the have a lest exacting schedule, Ed I alle being the one who springs most immediately to mind, dutside of this handful, a half dozen at the most, every editor has been in arrears lately, some of them very much so. Now different the situation would have been four or five years ago. At that time if I had had a similar trip to make I would have given the most detailed instructions to all gameswesters of games I was in as to whore I would be, andon that dates. Novadays the management of games is so slack that only one game in nine requires that attention. In most of the games I am in delays have become the rule rather than the exception; but, at least, they are delays only, the games are still in progress after a fashion. In many other games the situation is far worse, the games have been abandoned by their gamesmasters.

Can anything be done about the large number of games so abandoned? Or, at least, can anything be done to decrease the number of such cases in future?

Some years ago Harl Thompson tried to ensure that the games in the zine he began, Vandy II, vould not collapse. His method was to turn over the game fees to someone else who was instructed to return the fees to the players if issues did not appear regularly. That was

the first, and so far the only, attempt to protect the players against the failure of a gamesmaster to run his games. I am not sure, however, that such a money back offer really meets the case. An incident may show the weakness of this approach.

Lyser or so ago the Drannans made one of their frequent moves. I wrote Dan and offered to run his games for a time until he was settled again. Whe published this offer in his next issue and added the rewark that he would transfer to me whichever of his games offered the most money in support of the change. Now Dan obviously meant this facetiously, but it wasn't so taken by all his players. One player in a WnW game who had a rather good position promptly wrote me and offered all if I would take the game over, that sum being about double the WnW game foe. This incident, I think, illustrates the weakness of Thompson's solution to the abandoned game problem: It is not return of cash that the players wants; he wants those games in which he is interested run off on a regular and reasonably fast rate. Ifter all, if money was the main object we could all save some by never entering any games at all.

Is there any other procedure which might be used which would out down on the number of shandonedgenes and which would keep the players informed of that is going on when games are temporarily delayed? On mulling this over recently it seemd to me that one possibility might be to have for every game, not only a gamesuaster, but also a guaranter, who would have to be himself a publisher and gamesmaster.

Here is how the system would work, suppose Charles Larriwalker wanted to open a new game. He would first write to that well known gamesmaster, John V. Wells, and ask the latuer if he would guarantee his game. On receiving an affirmative answer he would announce his game in the usual way, stating his playing procedures, fees, etc., and also indicating that John Wells would guarantee the game. The gamesmester would then be obliged to send the latterall moves, not only the published ones in the ordinary ray, but carbon-copy moves as well. Le would inform him of any changes of radress of players, if he felt that he would have to delay some issue he should inform the guarantor of the fact, and of the time that he expected the delay to last. If, st any time, an issue of the magizine did not appear at the expected time, the guarantor would have the right to write the gamesmaster to find out thy; he would also be entitled to a reply to such a quory. If a peply should not be received within a reasonable time he could assume that the game had been abandoned and he could assume control of it.

I think that where are a number of advintages to this system as compared with our present hapharand way of transfering abandoned games.

1. Charles deinsel has frequently stated that either a sine should appear within 72 hours of its previously announced deadline, or that the gamesmaster should write all his players explaining the

delay with an estimate of how long it will last. This may be all right in a perfect world. In the real one, an editor prevented from publishing due to domestic, scholastic, or business pressures would also find it impossible to write 25, or whatever, individual latters to his players explaining matters. But to write a card to one man, the guarantor, is another matter; only very very rarelywill this be impossible. Is the guarantor would himself be a publisher, the explanation would appear in print and would diffuse through the Diplomacy world so that the information would soon be known to all concerned.

- 2. Then management of a game is transferred, the nurson taking over tould, under this proposal, be fully informed of the prior situation. This is a feature that I personally feel strongly about at the moment. As many readers will know I recently took over an abondoned Jutland Jollies game. The first notable thing that happened after the take over was that one of the players began to complain long and bitterly that he didn't see why the game had to be set back to the position that it had before its last carbon-copy move. For I didn't know anything about a carbon-copy move, I had just assumed that the last move published was the last of any type. The game was to be transferred from Jutland Jollies to John Maning, and was then transforred indirectly to me through Loning. I asked Moning if there was anything further on the game and he said that there wasn't. Admitedly, this questy of mine was not made with any idea of carbon-copy moves, but because I thoughtthat Bob Lake, the game's previous gamesmester, might in we forwarded current moves to Moning. But, however it grose, a player was made to feel that he was being put at a disadventage. This sort of thing could not happen under the proposed scheme. The guaranter, the would normally be come the new gamesmaster, would be informed continuously of the events of the game and would know about carbon-copy moves and similar features.
- 5. Games could be transferred with for less bad feeling than now cometimes occurs. Rany gamesmasters seem to feel that they even their games and that cayone the offers to take them over is attempting theft. Rightly considered the games belong, if they belong to anyone, to the players in them. In any event, under the proposed procedure, the gamesmaster says, implicitly at least, when he asked that games over if I allow it to fall seriously behing the advertised schedule. Of course, no one expects the guarantor to take over a game thick has a move that is two days late. The pastester can easily look after recisional emergencies by informing the guarantor, "vinals prevent my publishing, those will be a delay of three weeks, or whatever. But if he just lets things fall, as had happened so often in the past, he automatically transfers auth crity to the Juarantor to pick up the pieces, and had already done so ut the time of asking the latter to guarantee his game.
- 4. Minally the time required to transfer a game would be much reduced. When a game is abandoned it now usually takes at least three months for some one else to take it over and get it

gains again. Under the proposal, the guarantor would probably vait a weak of ten days after the time he expected to receive an issue before writing the editor about it. He would then have to wait a reasonable time for a reply. But within three weeks or a month he should be able to satisfy himself that the game had been abandoned, and himself assume publication of it. All the steam won't be out of the players by the time the game is resumed.

I think it is ironic that many zines have the most elaborate schemes, spelled out in the minutest detail, as to what they will do if a player defaults, and there hasn't been the slightest thought given to the far more serious matter of default of the gamesmaster. Note that the rule-book itself gives one possible solution to the player default question. There is no reason thy sines should not adopt other procedures if they think them better. But it isn't urgent, since we have that laid down procedure given to us. And a player can, to some extent at least, protect himself against the effects of the departure of another player. If a has no such protection against the departure of a gamesmaster. I think it is time that some thought was given to the question.

No doubt if we adopted this procedure another question would immediatly arise: Quis custodiam custodiet? We may need a Super-guarantor to guarantee the guarantor.

Despatch Box

S. L. Cochran, Jr., 805 Citizens Bonk Building, Myler, Mexes, 75701:

I have just gedeived my second copy of Vimy Victors No. 2. Can it be that your addressing machine has the blocker?

((+(Whin I took over the publication of Broblingnes from Dick Schultu, nearly four years ago now, there had been several cases of players failing to receive issues of zines sent to them. In particular, an issue of Traustark mailed to John Moning did not reach him and his position in one of its games was seriously affected. I resolved that nothing of this sort would happen in Brob if I could help it and sent two copies of each issue to the players. Whese copies were wailed from different Post Offices, or on consecutive dates, or both. The same procedure has been followed by my oth or zines since, with the modification that if there are several games, and if a particular issue concerns only one of those games, then only the players in that game are sent a second copy.

How state that it was No. 2 for which you neceived two copies. What about No. 1? Now were sent two copies of it as well. If you only received one, it is possible that the system has already paid off in your own case.

By the try, one copy of No. 2 tes mailed from Malston on a Mursday evening. The second copy the mailed from Munipeg, some 5 or 6

hundred miles further sost. Only rarely is it possible to have as good a separation as this in the points of mailing. -jamec)+;)

Myenton Ver Flogg, 3241 Forth Tintrop, 605, Chicago, Illinois, 60526:

the brief questions. Wirst, the year't you, in the BEOB rating list, list not only the time in (), but the number of wins. I am interested in that, and so are most others. With the great number of games that have been won, the more fact that a person has you, and that in itself, is not very enlightening. It would be a simple matter to put, for Smythe as an example, (50). Frue?

Geoord. Rod Walker and I stopped to see Allan Calhamer yesterday for about six hours, and, in addition to a fascinating conversation, came up with another rule consideration for you. This was something which he had been asked about, but hadn't passed it along... Incidentally, he considers it to be very legit, within terms of what is defined in the nulebook.

To wit: The rules say that a player can build in an unoccupied home center. Nowehere in the rules does it say that the player had to be the last to own the center, even on a Mall move. Thus, the player could raise whether or not his country had been overrun completely, provided, of course, that he could meet the other requirements.

Mint's it, and it's so simple I'm surprised that no-one in Postal play ever asked about it before. As Boundman says: "Play Callamer's Mules." For they tell, what does the rulebook say about that? I realize of course, that the implications of interpreting it this way would be heinous. And, clearly, this was not Callamer's intention. Fevertheless, he claimed to have a red face. I wonder how many otherslike that are nosing around waiting to be uncevered simply because every one intemprets them the same.

((+(May MMOD leating list did, in fact, give the number of wins at one time. See the Wating List in MMOD #75 for example. I seen dropped the idea because at that time I included 5-man games as well as 7-man games and it seemed to me that the two types of vin should really be distinguished from one another. Also there were then far fewer winners than now and everyone knew that John Smythe had won 4 games, for more than anyone else, so it didn't really give much information. Time has blought a change to both these objections and I think it would be a good idea to adopt your suggestion. As you know, I am no longer the manager of the 1700 Rating List but just its booksteeper. Movever, if Ed Mälle has no objections I will do as you suggest in future editions of the listing.

As to the rule point, I don't think you, or Walker, or Colhaner can have read the rules very thoroughly. What the rulebook says is "If he gains units, he places them, one in each unoccupied surply center in his home country only (provided such supply centers are still under his control). The words underlined appear to me to very clearly prohibit a build behind the enemy lines, such as you suggest.

In this instance the rulebook clearly states that Calhamer clearly meant. This is not the same thing as saying that our existing rule is the best, either from the point of view of the most interesting game, or from the point of view of paralleling the actual historical situation. As to the rule which makes the more interesting game it can only be determined if players with experience of the traditional game try a few games of the variant and empress their opinion of it, As to historical realism, consider the following case. Suppose that in 1914 the German advance had not been stopped on the Marne. Suppose they had succeeded in surrounding and capturing Paris. Let us suppose further that after many anxious moments through the year 1915 that the Wrench managed to establish a defensible front but one which left the enemy in occupation of the north-eastern fifth of Prance. Suprose now that the resources, human financial, with the goodwill of allies, sufficient to maintain their existing armies, repair mastage, and so on, and that they have something additional, over and above this. What should they do with the extra? In additional Army Corps in the line may make no practical difference at all: they can hold with what they have, but a successful offensive might require not a mere addition but a doubling of their army. In such circumstances it might make more military sense not to raise the additional troops at all but to use the our resources differently. Where would almost containly be unrest in the occupied areas. They might very well decide that they could do more toward the eventual winning of the war by supporting guerilla sction there, running small arms and ammunition along the Channel coast and so on. Our game speaks of an "army". Nothing is said of its composition. We tacitly assume that it is suresed to be a conventional organized army. But there is nothing to prevent it from being an army of a resistance movement instead. I would like to see a game played under this rule, that is, where a country can make a raise to which it is entitled in any vacant home centre, even though the centre is the property of inother nower. Unloss you or Calhamer have a between suggestion I propose

As to how many other items of possible dispute may still be lurking undetected in the rulebook. Two and a half years ago I rashly stated that I thought that all the major disputes had been discovered. I had barely published that statement when dishard Shagrin wrote me with his Alternato Loute Convoy question. So I won't make

a rash prophecy this time.

Anyhow, I don't think that the nulebook has ever defined the game, It has, at most, provided a rough guide, but no have all really learned the game from other players, not from the book. That this is so is shown by the fact that every time a group of any size has joined the main body they have been found to have a somethat different set of rules. The Horonto group had several rules different from what is now normally accepted, for instance they destroyed an army which was being convoyed by a fleet if the fleet wave disloged. In Youngstown a unit ordered to move could be supported standing, until Loning and Smythe changed things to agree with what was usually done in postal play. East your when the Mey-Just group joined us they had a rule that a force ordered to move and provented from doing so by other forces could be disloged by the subsolved a single unit.

There were formerly many Diplomacy players who contacted one another to arrange games. They did not units with the existing "zine" body of players until the Spring-Summer of 1966. Prior to that union they almost universally allowed convoy through coastal provinces. If it cames to that, the Washington and vicinity group still play a game which differs in some respects from that used by everyone else.

lary layers never read the rulebook. When Her Davdidson left this area for Denmark he was in about a half-dozen games but had not read the rulebook, although he may have done so since. When Dan Braunan first read the nulebook he announce the fact in Wild in Mooly; not only had he played the game for years previously, he

was already a gamesmaster of standing.

Jeff Mey calls the main body of postal Diplomacy players "the mainstream". The game that the mainstream plays is the game tought, directly or indirectly, by Calhamer, at least for the most part. The rulebook game is something else. In a sense it is unplayable, since there are frequently occurring situations which it doesn't cover at all. Wor instance, any game basing itself solely on the rulebook would have to come to a standatill if two players ordered a retreat to the same space, since there is nothing in the book to decide what should be done in such a case. In the very early days the most active group of Diplomacy players was the Mast Paterson Diplomacy Club, a group which Calhamer had personally addressed and explained the game to. Someone (Dean Dickensheet???) caried word of the game from there to the West Coast and there was tremendous, if doort lived, enthusiasm in Losingeles. Boardman learned the game at the Mast Paterson club, and Graustark grew from that. Ruritanic grew out of the Los Lughes group. 10th groups, as we have seen, taught the game by Calhamer, in one case directly, in the other indirectly. Calhamer's influence on those two early zines was reinforced by his entering a game in one and by his writing quite frequently in the other. L'early all magazines published today have a lind of descent from th ose two original zines. Nor instance, I played in several Boardman games before I began sublishing. is mentionedearlier, in all cases of groups or of magazines thich began independently of this main line, that is where a set was bought and the rulebook interpreted as best it could be without reference to other players, there are differences between the game so developed and the mainstream game. And nothing can more startly show the inadequacy of the rulebook as a definition of the game. -jamce)+))

Hal Taus, 1011 Barrett Avenue, Chula Vista, California, 92010:

Was messing around out in my shed this morning and, ulile looking thru old issues of Erob and your latest magazines, I started a list of the new bloods that you had listed... with the following results.

(+((Hal, as you know, you then had about three pages of listings. I won't quote the remainder of your letter, but will summarize it. What Hal did was to go through all the Diplomacy magazines which I have published and to list all the names appearing in the Hew Hood columns of them. To then took these names and checked them against

game roster which he maintains to find out how many games each had entered. He found 85 New Blood names in all, exactly half of whom, 45, became active to some extent. Two of those who became active have since dropped out of postal Diplomacy, and 7 publish zines of their can now. Of those who became active by far the most active was lidi Birson, so much so that Hal gave up on the job of counting his games, he just puts "Active" in large lotters beside his name. Others who were very active include Hd Halle with 15 games, Ruddy fretick with 12, Sid Cochran with 11, and Bob Blinney with 12. Many are in the 6 or 7 category, and very many in the el. 2, or 3.

in the 5 or 7 category, and very many in the 1, 2, or 3.

Hal, I am not surprised that only half of these people became active. Pany of them had heard about the name, were interested enough to write to try to find out more about it, but did not really expect to enter games. That surprises me more is that only two of those once active have dropped out. This is much below the proportion of drop outs in the hobby as a whole. In any event, many thanks for digging out this information, it must have been a great deal of work to do. ...jamco)+))

Net Blood

Where is no need to let that number remain at 86 indefinitely. Whe following have unitten indicating an interest in the game.

Drancis H. Dong, 122-10 18th Lvenue, College Foint, New York, 11356

Jay Bennett, 8203 Southampton ive., Wy ndmoor, Pennsylvania,

Murty G. Kirkpatrick, 320 Yale Street, Manga, Idaho, 33551

Hew Homes

The queries shove asked about game openings. Listed below and games forming which I have heard about in the last month or so:

Me luget Sound Gazette, Hal Hous, 1011 Hawrett Avenue, Chula Vista, California, 92010. The Fuget Sound Augette, by the way, will incompende Corebral Jebula, the Lost Ones and AUGIANT.

Droblinging, Ed Malle, F. C. Now 903, Cainesville, Monida, 52801. The fee is 33, 4, or 35, depinding on thather or not you are a present player and whether or not you are a member of the MALL Bames Duneau. Ed is also officing a game of the variant, Cryptodiplomacy. Write him for details.

Olympia, Rich Mubin, 8580 M. Pointe Drive, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, 53217,

- Thulcondre, 4242 East-West Highway, Chevy Chase, Maryland, 20015.

 Moves will actually be published in Charles Wells'

 Lonely Lountain but fees and applications should go

 to Merry.
- Half 'n' Half, David Lindsay, 2245 Dairmont Farlmay, Erie, Pennsylvania, 16510.
- The Toice, Jeff W. Mey, P. C. Now 251, Estontown, 1. J., 07724.
- Attaquer, Donald Cowen, 1805 8th Ave., S. W., Decatur, Alabama, 35601.
- <u>Yerbal Chaos Ltd.</u>, Bill McDuffie, 20 Gail Drive, Hyack, Lew Mork, 10960

tiger instantantips, region, under under under under 1900 (1900) (1900) (1900) (1900) (1900)

Limbourg Gazette and Di-monthly Almanac, Craig Mlyver, 3254 Langdon Ave., Van Juys, California, 91401

We olde Busie Bulletynne Boardde is a new venture by Jeff W. Ney,
P. O. Box 251, Estontown, Lew Jersey,
07724. It lists now magazines, delays in existing magazines, new
game openings, new players, players' address changes, and thingsof
that nature. The price is 12 issues for a dollar, or fifty cents
for the same dozen issues to those currently necesiving the Voice.
Appearing every three weeks it is intended to heep us all up to
date with that we might term administrative news. If it can maintain
its schedule and if editors co-operate by sending in their news,
this could easily be one of the most useful innovations on the bippy
scene.

Ty our publishing plans for the coming sweets include the following:

Laurenc. Buff. this is a journal of discussion about Diplomacy.

It carries no games and is unlikely to do so in the near future. In fact it carries no regular features at all. However, I expect to continue the series, begun this issue, on the evolution of the rulebook, although not in every issue.

Vimy Victors. Green. Carries game 1967AJ taken over from Jutland Jollies. Also t'e Calbamer Point Count mating hist. And, in conjunction with the last item, a brief summary of all recently completed games.

Name undecided. Nive. Bost summer Nod Million asked me to haddle his Erchwon regular games while he was away on vacation. That idea was ruited by the Cauadian postal strike. Nothing daunted by that experience he has again asked me to look after some of his games this summer while he is moving from Thinois to California. The first issue is expected out about mid July, depending on the first deadline that hed gives me.

Subscription price for any or all of these is 100 pages for a Collor. Individual items are locants each, 20 cents if more than 10 pages long. Subscribers' and tradeus' copies may be sent several issues together to save mailing charges, although Laurenia will normally be mailed on publication.

I should have mentioned, a page back, that Half in Half's pluss are carried in Hously Lountain. Theo among games forming there is

The first ever Diplomacy magazine, now in its seventh year, limits itself to three or four new games per year. One of these is due to be autounced soon. However, the only way you can enter it is to win one of the series of contests which Grau is now number, the current contest is on names of fictional countries, a subject which should be dear to the heart of all Diphysine bibliophiles. Just send John the origin, author, book, and so on, of the following fictional countries, Althougand, Bondour, Free City of Judenstadt, klopstockia, Lampederra, Libertaria (Libertatie), Pharamaul, Sevarambie, Empire of Tollan, Ubigucki; a successful entry will give you a free case in one of the most eagerly sought after playing fields.

State of the Games

Listed below is the current state of affairs of a number of games in progress. This attempts to give both the publishing status, and the game-development status of each game. For the former the most recent information of any kind; for the latter the state reached at the end of the latest completed build move. For example, I6, after a player's name indicated that he is playing Italy and that he had I forces on the board at the end of the last build season, thatever may have hampened since then.

It will be noted that games are listed in three different ways. (1) To underlining, the game is nowing along on schedule. (2) Single underlining, here than two weeks have elapsed since the previously announced deadline with no further word being received. The game seems late but there is no reason to suppose that anything more serious is wrong with it. (2) bouble underlining. As far as the reader can if judge the game has been abandoned, no word of it having been received in worths. It should be pointed out that appearances are sometimes deceiving, lately many games have been transformed from a published magazine to carbon-copy latter mode of production. If one is in the game one knows all about these carbon-copy games; if not, the jame appears to have stopped although purhaps it is procausaing normally, any editors or players the lave information more complete and up to date than that indicated below are requested inform me of such errors.

19650, Wild'n Wooly's 1965Md. Dan Fasanan, gam smaster, Wall 1918 moves in Why 140, dated 30 larch 1960, to deadline assigned but other games in sum issue had deadline 15 May, Maryers:

- Pournelle Gl6, von Metzke D7, Dygert I6, LcCallum R5. Whis mas been in progress for four and a half years and is the only 1965 game still on the books.
- 1966C. Wild 'n Wooly's 1905kl. Winter 1912 in WnW 140. Deadline for moves for Spring 1913, 5 key. Tlayers: Wells W10, McCallum, E9, Moning T9, Daus 66. Samesmaster Brancan.
- 1988T. Diplophobia's FEC. Don Miller Mamasmaster. Walk 1911 in Diplophobia 46, dated 28 March. Deadline 22 April. Flayers: Schelz 113, L. uff 110, Mebling 210.
- 1966W. Bölverk, James Wright gamesmaster. All of the players in this game lived in, or near, Bichland, Gregon, and it is believed that the game was completed over the board.
- 1966X. Delitaria, Swenson gameshaster. This jone had the misfortune to be born in Rusitania. It was later transferred to Endy Swenson's Hiskatonic University, but the editor of the latter is not in the services. Formally it yould be a good thing for some one to pick the game up but, in this case, the front running player is usually a ighly uncooperative. Players: Dygert MI4, Wolf E7, Eranson T5 Ward I4, Genignani I3, Peck MI. And those positions are at the end of 1907
- 1966Z. Wild 'n Wooly's 1965EG, Frannan gamesnaster had its Spring 1969 move in WnW 140 tith a deadline of 12 May for the Wall move. Flayers: Davidson All, Moning MS, Ruch MS, Christina Ewannan M7.
- 1066AT. ALAG. Plaus gamesmaster. Spring 1911 in ADAG 39. Desaling 14 June. Players: Peery F14, Turner ILE. St. Cyr All.
- 1950AS. Diplowhobia's PHC. Won Willer Comesmoster. 1911 builds in \$\frac{\psi 48}{\psi th next deadline 22 Upril. Fluyers: Greene U17, to no lietzhe M8, Muff W7, Mebling I2.
- 1936.M. Diplophobia's PIC. Filler gamesmaster. 1911 builds in 447. Decdling 24 June. Players: Lebling 116, Zelazny 114, Fuff R1.
- 1966.W : 1966B. Corsair, to Speed gamesmoster. Nothing has been heard of these two games since their opening move. Since all the players were fairly close neighbours it is possible that they were completed over the board.
- 1960BD. Diplophobia's FRC. Hiller gamesmaster. 1909 builds in \$47. Descline 24 June. Players: Prospits 1913, Debling 411, Laus 49. Schelz MI.
- 1965DL. Kalmar. Christing Frances gamesmaster, 1903 builds in Mild in Woolyl41. Deadling SI Day. All countries still dive. Whis game also known as Malmar 1930DR

- 1966RM. Malmar's 63MS. Christina Francan pamesmaster, Foll 1907 moves in WnW 141. Boadline 27 May. Players at and 106: Tretick W13, Firsan M9, Mzudiker 69, Mletcher 12.
- 1933BT. Malmor's SSRT. Well 1907 moves in MnW 141. Deadline for builds 4 June. Christins Busnush genesmaster. Players (196): Long All, Wurner T8, Mouston Mo, Departs in 34, Warden M4,
- 1936BO. Lalmor's 65KV. Christina Brancon gomescater. Spring 108 in WnW 141. Deadling for refrects 50 log. Players: Firsan El2, Laus Al2, Peery W5, Perrin 12, Ch apman 82, Laus G1.
- 1967A. stab, John Weng comesurstar. Poll 1915 in stab 52with deciding for builds It April. Tegurs: Smythe 113, Fournelle 110, Charl 19, Latimer 61.
- 1937A. Diplophobia's PLC. Miller gamesmuster. 1909 Luilds in 547. Beadling 24 June. Blayers: Whatcik 416, Laus 28, Buff 14, Carey M3, von Netzle B2
- 1967J. The Puget Sound Pazette. Paus gamesmaster. 1905 builds in Al. Pazelline 14 June. Flayers: Letzger 9%, St.Cyr GI, Mosenbaum 6R, Eddy .: 34, Povaek &E.
- 1937H. Diplophobia's POC. Filler Gamesnester, Well 1903 in #47. Deadline for builds 24 June. Players ('07): Prosmits 131, Lebling 131, von Letzke 4E, Fous 25, Euff 26.
- 19370. <u>Menogogic</u>. Feery gamesmaster, Util. 1910 in HI.12.0. Deadling for builds 5 July. Flayers (1909): Leshara 12H, Presnits 50. Comber 5H, Carey 5H, Daus 4D.
- 1967%. The Fugat Sound Sazette. Hal Wans gamesmaster. Suring 'Od in Al. Dandline 14 June. Flayers: Fhillips 111, Surner SE, Maggart 80, St. Cy r 51, Pendergrass 20.
- 19870. Grousterk. John Foardman gemesmaster. Winter 1920 was in Grau (188, 3 May, Deadline 17 Hay. Hore recent issues of Grausterk do not list this game. Flayons: Hichael Hiller LLI, Clark 101, Moshara 102, Fee. Mean 52.
- 1937W. Manogogic. Facty gamesmaster. Mall 1910 in M.12.0. Meddline for builds 5 July. Flagrens (109): Malker 12E, Feshara 92, Make 71, Linden 56.
- 1987Y Manogogic. Peery gamesmaster. Lakk 1909 in IV.12.0. Leadling for builds 5 July. Whayens (108): Nobt Ferlins 94. Polan 8M, Cockwan 80, Meckman 8M,

(To be continued.)